

Rhabdomyosarcomas: an overview on the experimental animal models

Alessandra Zanola, Stefania Rossi, Fiorella Faggi, Eugenio Monti, Alessandro Fanzani *

Department of Biomedical Sciences and Biotechnologies, Interuniversity Institute of Myology (IIM), University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

Received: September 16, 2011; Accepted: December 16, 2011

- Introduction
- Histological, genetic and molecular characteristics of RMS
- Experimental animal models of RMS
- Carcinogen agents and ionizing radiations-exposed animal models
- Virus infection and transgenic expression of viral proteins
- Gene-targeted animal models
- Conclusions

Abstract

Rhabdomyosarcomas (RMS) are aggressive childhood soft-tissue malignancies deriving from mesenchymal progenitors that are committed to muscle-specific lineages. Despite the histopathological signatures associated with three main histological variants, termed embryonal, alveolar and pleomorphic, a plethora of genetic and molecular changes are recognized in RMS. Over the years, exposure to carcinogens or ionizing radiations and gene-targeting approaches *in vivo* have greatly contributed to disclose some of the mechanisms underlying RMS onset. In this review, we describe the principal distinct features associated with RMS variants and focus on the current available experimental animal models to point out the molecular determinants cooperating with RMS development and progression.

Keywords: rhabdomyosarcomas • animal models • skeletal muscle

Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcomas have an incidence of about 50% of all soft-tissue sarcomas and 10% of all malignant solid tumours in children [1, 2]. They derive from mesenchymal progenitors committed to myogenic lineages and so may arise in almost any body district [3–6], thus exhibiting a muscle-specific expression pattern that makes this malignancy rather unique [7]. Diagnosis of RMS, indeed, is predicted by the immunohistochemical or molecular detection of Myogenic Regulatory Factors, such as MyoD and myogenin [8, 9], whereas the expression of contractile proteins, like myosin, is indicative of differentiated tumour phenotypes [10]. Basically, several different genomic imbalances and translocations have been recognized in RMS, leading to identification of a rather complex number of deregulated pathways and targets [3–5]. Recently, much attention has been devoted to the tumour-initiating cells involved in RMS development, suggesting that differences in tumour histology may be dependent on the presence of

specific genetic changes in different mesenchymal cell progenitors [11–15]. In this article, we present an overview of the chemical, physical and genetic approaches employed to trigger RMS formation in different mammalian and non-mammalian models.

Histological, genetic and molecular characteristics of RMS

RMS have been classified on the basis of histopathological criteria and genetic signatures. They include two major histological variants, termed embryonal (ERMS) and alveolar (ARMS), and a less common pleomorphic (PRMS) variant. ERMS are more responsive to treatments and make up to 80% of RMS in children of less

*Correspondence to: Alessandro FANZANI, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Biotechnologies, Interuniversity Institute of Myology (IIM), University of Brescia,

viale Europa 11, 25123 Brescia, Italy.
Tel.: +39-030-3717567
Fax: +39-030-3701157
E-mail: fanzani@med.unibs.it

than 10 yrs of age. ERMS may occur in any body district and are heterogeneous in terms of histological appearance, ranging from poorly to highly differentiated lesions, virtually resembling the multi-step process of embryonic muscle differentiation. ARMS, instead, are characterized by poorer prognosis and are mainly detected in the trunk and body extremities in adolescents and adults [16]. ARMS cells resemble lung alveoli, with clusters of eosinophilic tumour cells arranged loosely and disposed in an alveolar pattern. PRMS are rare and mainly found in adults, and typically have a poor clinical outcome [17, 18].

Rhabdomyosarcomas histotype is preferentially correlated with particular genomic aberrations (Table 1); in particular, ERMS are characterized by a severe genomic instability primarily due to losses or gains on different chromosomes [19–22]. The most frequent signatures characterizing ERMS are the loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and imprinting (LOI) on chromosome region 11p15.5 [23–29]. These genetic alterations trigger the impaired expression of different putative tumour suppressor genes like *H19* [30], *CDKN1C* (*p57/KIP2*) [31, 32], and *SLC22A1L* (*BWR1A*) [33], but also the overexpression of *IGF-2*, a tumour-promoting gene imprinted in the opposite direction [24, 34]. In addition, frequently associated with ERMS are the deficiency in Patched (*PTCH*) gene due to LOH on chromosome 9q22 [21, 35, 36] and activating mutations in *RAS* gene [37–39]. Alveolar, instead, are predominantly characterized by the presence of non-random chromosomal translocations [40–42], as well as by other less frequent genetic changes [43–49]. In particular, the t(2;13)(q35;q14) and t(1;13)(p36;q14) translocations account for about 70% and 10% of ARMS, respectively, giving rise to chimeric proteins that are formed by the fusion of the paired and homeo-DNA binding domain of Pax3 or Pax7 factors with the transactivation domain of Fkhr (FoxO1a) [40–42]. The so-originated Pax3-Fkhr and Pax7-Fkhr transcription factors enable an aberrant transcriptional programme, contributing to RMS progression through multiple mechanisms [19, 50–52]. Finally, in PRMS, a miscellaneous of several different genetic aberrations has been detected [53, 54].

Among the several molecular alterations found in RMS, some are frequent and others are rare, unveiling heterogeneous aetiologies under the convergent phenotype. As summarized in Table 2, the network of these alterations encompasses the expression of the chimeric Pax3- and Pax7-Fkhr factors [40–42] and the loss or gain of activity of different players, including members of the p53 [55–61], Rb [62] and CDKs families [31, 32, 44, 49], tumour-suppressor genes [30, 33], autocrine/paracrine growth factors [24, 34, 63–85], chemokines [86, 87], immunoglobulin superfamily members [88], myogenic proteins [50, 52, 89–92], and components of the Akt [93], n- and c-Myc [46–48, 94], Ras/Erk [37–39] and Sonic hedgehog [21, 35] pathways. Moreover, the involvement of certain gene aberrations has been inferred from the study of different human syndromes particularly correlated with RMS [59] (Table 3), including the Li-Fraumeni syndrome [55], Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome [95], neurofibromatosis-1 [96], Costello syndrome [97], Gorlin syndrome [98], retinoblastoma [99], mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome [100], mismatch repair deficiency syndrome [101] and Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome [102].

Collectively, the large body of experimental evidence indicates that RMS development frequently requires the suppression of the p53 pathway in conjunction with secondary cooperating events, including the aberrant activity of different tyrosine-kinases receptors along the Ras axis, or the occurrence of Pax3/7-Fkhr chimeric products in the case of ARMS [3–6].

Experimental animal models of RMS

Rhabdomyosarcomas development has been detected upon exposure to carcinogen agents or ionizing radiations (Table 4), as well as in several different genetically engineered animal models (Table 5). An overview of these models, together with their main pathological features, is provided below.

Carcinogen agents and ionizing radiations-exposed animal models

Heavy metals

Heavy metals (such as As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Co, Cu, Fe, Hg, Pb, Pt) represent an important family of highly toxic environmental pollutants arising as industrial by-products and displaying mutagenic and carcinogenic potential. Indeed, metal cations can catalyse the production of reactive oxygen species which, in turn, elicit a variety of macromolecular alterations by impairing cellular functions. About 50 years ago, RMS formation was first observed upon intramuscular injection of nickel and cobalt compounds into adult rats [103, 104]. Since then, other works have shown that nickel compounds are efficient triggers of RMS formation in rat and rabbit models [105–107]. More recently, an *in vitro* study revealed that cancerous cells derived from the nickel compounds-treated rats were characterized by a mature phenotype [108].

Pyrrrolizidine alkaloids

The pyrrrolizidine alkaloid monocrotaline and its major metabolite dehydroretronecine are naturally occurring toxins widely distributed in the world. These alkaloids are among the most common poisonous plants affecting livestock, wildlife and humans, as they cause liver toxicity and cancer [109, 110]. Administration of dehydroretronecine produced RMS in over 50% of the treated rats, in addition to other neoplasms occurring at lower percentage such as myelogenous leukaemias, hepatocellular carcinomas, and pulmonary adenomas [111].

Benzenediazonium sulphate and relative compounds

Benzenediazonium sulphate (BD) is formed during the cytochrome P-450 catalysed metabolism of the carcinogenic 1-(phenylazo)-2-hydroxynaphthalene (Sudan I, Solvent Yellow 14), which was used as a colouring agent for food and other materials in several countries. Furthermore, BD is a metabolic breakdown product of different classes

Table 1 Genetic signatures associated with RMS histotypes

	ERMS	ARMS	PRMS
LOH and/or LOI	11p15.5 [23–29] 9q22 [21, 35, 36]		
Translocations	11p1-q11 [22]	t(2;13)(q35;q14) t(1;13)(p36;q14) [40–42]	
Amplifications	12q13-15 [20]	12q13-15 [43–45] 2p24 [43, 46–48] 2q34-qter 15q24-26 1p36 13q31-32 1q21 8q13-21 [43]	1q25-q31 11q13.5-q14 8p11.2-p11.1 [53]
Gains of chromosomes	2, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13q21, 17, 18, 19, 20 [20, 21]	13 [43]	1p22-p23 7p 20/20p 1q21-q25 3p12 3q26-pter 4q28-q31 [54] 18/18p 8q21-q23/8q 22q [53, 54] 5, 6q [53]
Losses of chromosomes	3, 6, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17 [20, 21] 9q22, 1p35-36, 14q21-q32 [21]	16q 9q32-34 13q14-qter [43] 17p 9p21 [49]	1q, 14, 17p 12q13.2-q13.3 [53] 10q23 15q21-q22 2q21-q35 [54] 3p 5q32-qter 13 [53, 54]

of nitrogen-nitrogen bond-containing chemicals. Subcutaneous injections of BD in Swiss mice gave rise to different neoplasms that were classified as RMS, fibrosarcomas and osteosarcomas [112]. Other variants of this molecule, namely 4-hydroxy- and 4-methyl-BD, are two ingredients derived from the non-cultivable unedible *Agaricus xanthodermus* and the cultivable *Agaricus bisporus* mushrooms, respectively. These molecules promoted RMS development in mice, in addition to fibromas, fibrosarcomas and myxosarcomas [113, 114].

***N*-methyl-*N'*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine**

N-nitroso compounds, such as *N*-methyl-*N'*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG), are chemical carcinogens detectable in preserved foodstuffs and cigarettes and represent important risk factors contributing to development of nasopharyngeal carcinoma [115]. It has been shown that fish models, such as Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) and Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), develop a broad range of neoplasms of mesenchymal derivation, including RMS, when exposed to MNNG [116, 117].

Azoxymethane and methylazoxymethanol

Azoxymethane (AOM) is a potent carcinogen causing a high incidence of colon cancer in rodents [118]. Once administered, AOM is metabolized into methylazoxymethanol [119], a mitotoxic molecule that spontaneously decomposes to a reactive alkylating agent with tumorigenic [120] and neurotoxic properties [121]. Different fish species exposed to methylazoxymethanol-acetate exhibited RMS formation [122].

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are implicated in the aetiology of human cancer due to exposure to cigarette smoke, urban air, pollution, coal combustion and certain occupational situations [123]. For example, exposure to 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene elicited RMS formation in Zebrafish, although at low incidence [124], whereas the same molecule was recently shown more effective in causing RMS in male Sprague–Dawley rats [125]. Also, RMS was detected in mice treated with benzo[a]pyrene

Table 2 Principal molecular alterations detected in RMS

Gene family	Molecular target	Alteration in RMS	References
Chimeric fusion genes	<i>PAX3-FKHR</i> <i>PAX7-FKHR</i>	Product of translocation t(2;13)(q35;q14) Product of translocation t(1;13)(q36,q14)	[40–42]
<i>P53</i> , <i>RB</i> and <i>CDKs</i>	<i>P53</i>	Loss of activity due to several different gene mutations and/or deletions	[55–59]
	<i>MDM2</i>	Overexpression and/or gene amplification	[58, 60]
	<i>P63</i> , <i>P73</i>	Transcript overexpression	[61]
	<i>RB</i>	Homozygous deletion on the protein-binding pocket domain	[62]
	<i>CDKN2A</i> , <i>ARF</i>	Gene deletion	[49]
	<i>CDKN2B</i>	Gene deletion	[49]
	<i>CDK4</i>	Overexpression due to locus amplification in 12q13-15	[44]
	<i>CDKN1C (p57/KIP2)</i>	Loss of expression due to LOH in 11p15.5 locus	[31, 32]
Tumour-suppressor genes	<i>H19</i>	Loss of expression due to LOH in 11p15.5 locus	[30]
	<i>SLC22A1L (BWR1A)</i>	Loss of expression due to LOH in 11p15.15 locus	[33]
Autocrine/paracrine growth factors	<i>HGF/c-MET</i>	<i>c-MET</i> activating mutations <i>c-MET</i> overexpression and/or amplification Pax-Fkhr-dependent <i>c-MET</i> overexpression	[63–68]
	<i>IGF1R</i>	Pax-Fkhr-dependent <i>IGF1R</i> up-regulation	[69–71]
	<i>IGF-2</i>	Overexpression due to LOI, LOH and paternal disomy Pax3-Fkhr-dependent overexpression	[24, 34]
	<i>IGFBP5</i>	Overexpression	[72, 73]
	<i>HER-1/EGFR</i>	Overexpression	[74]
	<i>HER-2</i>	Overexpression	[74]
	<i>PDGFR-A</i> , <i>PDGF-A</i> and <i>C</i>	Overexpression	[75]
	<i>VEGF</i>	Overexpression of both short and long isoforms	[76]
	<i>VEGFR1</i>	Overexpression at mRNA and protein levels Pax3-Fkhr-dependent overexpression	[76–78]
	<i>FGFR4</i>	High expression at mRNA and protein levels Activating mutations in the tyrosine-kinase domain	[79]
	<i>FGF</i> , <i>glypican-5</i>	Gene amplification	[80]
	NGF pathway	Anti-apoptotic autocrine loop	[81]
	TGF- β /myostatin	Increased expression	[82–85]
Chemokines	<i>MMP2</i> , <i>CXCR4</i>	Pax3-Fkhr-dependent overexpression	[86]
	<i>IL-4R</i>	Pax3-Fkhr-dependent overexpression	[87]
Immunoglobulin superfamily	<i>RAGE</i>	Reduced gene expression	[88]
Myogenic proteins	MyoD	Frequent expression in inactivated form	[50, 52, 89–92]

Table 2 Continued

Gene family	Molecular target	Alteration in RMS	References
<i>AKT</i>	Akt	High levels of phosphorylated Akt (Thr308 and Ser473)	[93]
<i>RAS/ERK</i> and <i>MYC</i>	<i>KRAS-2</i> , <i>NRAS</i>	Activating point mutations	[37]
	<i>HRAS-1</i>	Activating point mutations	[38, 39]
	<i>n-MYC</i>	Gene amplification	[46–48]
	<i>c-MYC</i>	Overexpression	[94]
Sonic hedgehog	<i>PTCH1</i>	Gene deletion	[21, 35]

Table 3 Human syndromes associated with RMS

Human cancer syndromes	Locus	Genetic mutation	MIM ID	References
Li-Fraumeni syndrome	17p13.1, 9p21	Germline transmission of a mutated <i>P53</i> allele	151623	[55]
Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome	11p15.5	Mutation or deletion of imprinted genes within the 11p15.5 locus	130650	[95]
Neurofibromatosis-1	17q11.2	Mutation in <i>NF1</i>	162200	[96]
Costello syndrome	11p15.5	Germline mutation in <i>HRAS</i>	218040	[97]
Gorlin syndrome	9q22.3	Germline mutations in <i>PTCH1</i> or <i>PTCH2</i>	109400	[98]
Retinoblastoma	13q14.1-q14.2	Germline mutation in <i>RB1</i>	180200	[99]
Mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome	15q15	Constitutional biallelic truncating and missense mutations in <i>BUB1B</i>	257300	[100]
Mismatch repair deficiency syndrome	7p22, 3p21.3, 2p16, 2p22-p21	Biallelic germline mutations of <i>MLH1</i> , <i>MSH2</i> , <i>MSH6</i> or <i>PMS2</i>	276300	[101]
Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome	Unknown	Mutations in <i>CREBBP</i> (>60%) or <i>EP300</i> (about 3%)	180849	[102]

MIM (Mendelian Inheritance in Man) identification numbers referred to each syndrome can be used to retrieve further information at the following site: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim>.

[126], even in association with nickel compounds [105]. Interestingly, benzo[a]pyrene carcinogenicity was lost in mice lacking the aryl hydrocarbon receptor [127].

Depleted uranium and lead

An interesting study was recently performed to assess the health risk effects of soldiers exposed to depleted uranium and lead employed to build tungsten alloy-based munitions [128]. For this purpose, male F344 rats were implanted intramuscularly with pellets of weapons-grade alloy to simulate shrapnel wounds. Within 4–5 months from the time of implantation, animals exhibited serious haematological changes indicative of polycythemia and

aggressive PRMS in the surrounding of the pellets, with rapid formation of lung metastases.

Ionizing radiations

Epidemiological evidence identifies ionizing radiations as causative agents contributing to the stepwise process of carcinogenesis. Indeed, repeated doses of β -radiation on the backs of CD-1 mice triggered a subset of neoplasms, with RMS being the most frequently observed [129]. RMS cell lines derived from these tumours all exhibited p53 inactivating mutations, suggesting that excessive exposure to radiation contributes to RMS development through the loss of p53 tumour-suppressor activity.

Table 4 Experimental animal models of RMS – chemical and physical triggers

Triggers	Modality of administration and animal models	Tumour analysis	References
Heavy metals: nickel and cobalt compounds	Intramuscular single injection in thigh of Wistar rats, C3H or Swiss mice	PRMS, highly anaplastic RMS, fibrosarcoma and myoma	[103–105]
	Testis injection in Fisher rats	RMS, fibrous histiocytomas and fibromas	[106]
	Intramuscular implant in rabbits	RMS with small polygonal or elongated cells, mature myofibres	[107]
Pyrrolizidine alkaloids: dehydroretronecine or monocrotaline	Subcutaneous injection in male Sprague-Dawley rats	RMS	[111]
Benzenediazonium sulphate and derivatives	Subcutaneous injection in Swiss mice	RMS, fibrosarcomas, osteosarcoma, fibromas and myxosarcomas	[112–114]
<i>N</i> -methyl- <i>N</i> '-nitro- <i>N</i> -nitrosoguanidine	Microinjection, water or dietary exposure in Medaka or Zebrafish	RMS and other mesenchymal-derived sarcomas	[116, 117]
Azoxymethane and methylazoxymethanol acetate	Water exposure in Medaka and Guppy fish	RMS	[122]
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: dimethylbenz[a]anthracene and benzo[a]pyrene	Microinjection, water or dietary exposure in Zebrafish	RMS in embryos and juveniles	[124]
	Subcutaneous injection in neonatal male Sprague-Dawley rats	PRMS and ERMS	[125]
	Subcutaneous implantation of filters overlaid with gelatine containing benzo[a]pyrene in mice	Foreign-body-induced sarcoma and RMS	[126]
Tungsten alloy-based munitions embedded with uranium and lead	Intramuscular leg implantation of nickel- and tantalum-pellets in male F344 rats	Polycythemia and PRMS with lung metastases	[128]
Ionizing radiations	Repeated doses of β -radiation in CD-1 mice	RMS, squamous-cell carcinoma and malignant fibrous histiocytoma	[129]

Virus infection and transgenic expression of viral proteins

Results from a study performed in the 1968s have shown that the infection of newborn rats with Moloney-murine sarcoma virus (MoMSV) predisposes to RMS formation [130]. After virus inoculation in the inguinal area, the solid tumour grown rapidly and expanded toward the leg muscles and the dorsal musculature. Metastases occurred regularly in the lungs and draining lymph nodes, and the metastatic cells in the lung closely resembled the cells of the primary tumour. A subsequent *in vitro* analysis demonstrated that RMS cells derived from the MoMSV-infected rats had an immature phenotype, displaying staining for desmin, but lack of myosin expression [108].

More recently, transgenic mice harbouring the Simian Virus T Antigene (*SV40 TAG*) gene under the control of the beta-globin

control region were generated, attempting the possibility to observe haematopoietic malignancies as a consequence of the erythroid-specific expression [131]. Unlikely, these mice developed PRMS in different anatomic sites and showed hyperplasia of the pancreatic islet cells, which progressed to pancreatic islet tumour.

In another model, transgenic mice expressing the 2.7-kb *SV40 TAG* early region under the control of the 5' region of the *SM22alpha* gene (expressed in embryonic cardiac muscle) developed a rare form of cardiac RMS at the age of approximately 8–12 weeks [132]. Authors reasoned that the SV40 TAG protein and/or its upstream regulatory region may be implicated in the binding and sequestration of specific protein partners whose identity was yet unknown and whose loss of function may be involved in RMS formation. To reconcile these data with some recent findings, it is now accepted that SV40 TAG, like other viruses products,

Table 5 Experimental animal models of RMS – biological triggers

Triggers	Treatment or genetic approach and animal model	Tumour analysis	References
Virus and viral proteins	MoMSV inoculation in newborn Wistar rats	Undifferentiated RMS with lung and lymph node metastases	[130]
	Erythroid-specific transgenic expression of <i>SV40 Tag</i> in SJL mice	PRMS and pancreatic islet tumour	[131]
	Cardiac-specific transgenic expression of <i>SV40 Tag</i> in C57BL/6 mice	Cardiac RMS	[132]
<i>P53</i>	Knock-out <i>P53</i> in C57BL/6 × CBA mice	Undifferentiated RMS and other neoplasms	[135]
	Double knock-out <i>P53</i> and <i>FOS</i> in 129Sv X C57BL/6 mice	ERMS	[139]
	Transgenic <i>HER-2/neu</i> expression in Balb/c mice with <i>P53</i> ^{+/-} background	ERMS	[143]
	<i>KRAS</i> ^{G12V} conditional expression in adult Balb/c mice with <i>P53</i> ^{+/-} or <i>P53</i> ^{-/-} background	PRMS	[144]
	Transgenic <i>KRAS</i> ^{G12V} expression and simultaneous loss of <i>P53</i> or gain of <i>P53</i> ^{R172H} mutant in C57B16J/S129 mice	PRMS with lung metastases	[145]
<i>PAX3-FKHR</i>	<i>PAX3-FKHR</i> knock-in expression and <i>P53</i> or <i>INK4a/ARF</i> knock-out expression in conditional mice	ARMS	[149]
<i>RAS</i>	<i>KRAS</i> ^{G12D} expression alone or combined with a <i>P53</i> ^{+/-} or <i>P53</i> ^{-/-} background in Zebrafish	Highly invasive ERMS	[158]
<i>HGF</i>	Transgenic broad overexpression of <i>HGF</i> in FVB/N mice	RMS, amelanotic melanoma, hepatic and mammary tumours	[64]
	Transgenic <i>HGF</i> expression in FVB/C57BL/6 mice with a background <i>INK4a</i> deficient	Lymphomas, fibrosarcomas and multifocal ERMS	[68]
Sonic hedgehog	<i>PTCH</i> ^{+/-} knock-out in CD-1 mice	RMS	[36]
	Conditional <i>PTCH</i> ^{+/-} knock-out in C57BL/6 × CD-1 mice	RMS	[174]
	Conditional <i>P53</i> ^{-/-} in Balb/c mice with <i>PTCH</i> ^{+/-} background	ERMS	[175]
	Gene-trap mediated <i>SUFU</i> ^{+/-} in C57BL/6 mice with <i>P53</i> ^{-/-} background	RMS	[176]
Muscular disorders-associated proteins	Nonsense mutation in dystrophin in non-transgenic mdx mice (model of DMD)	ARMS and ERMS	[185, 186]
	Mdx mice interbred with <i>P53</i> -deficient mice	ERMS	[191]
	Knock-out α <i>SGCA</i> in C57BL/10ScSn/J mice (model of LGMD-2D)	ERMS	[186]
	Deficiency of dysferlin in A/J mice (model of LGMD-2B)	PRMS at high frequency	[192]

has the ability to bind p53 and determine its loss of function, as recently demonstrated in patients affected by Li-Fraumeni syndrome with one *P53* allele active [133].

Thus, these findings suggest that viral proteins such as SV40 TAG, having the ability to bind proteins like p53 and pRb, may contribute to RMS pathogenesis.

Gene-targeted animal models

P53 pathway

P53 tumour-suppressor activity promotes apoptosis, senescence or reversible protective cell cycle arrest upon a variety of cellular damage signals [134]. In this sense, cells harbouring inactivating *P53* gene mutations are predisposed to cancer, as they escape self-protecting cell death and acquire a long-lived resistant condition. Patients affected by the Li-Fraumeni syndrome, harbouring germline *P53* mutations, develop soft-tissue sarcomas [55], including a significant percentage of RMS [13,56]. In addition, *P53* mutations and/or overexpression of its negative regulator *MDM2* are frequently recognized in RMS [58, 60]. So far, *P53* null mice were generated through homologous recombination strategy [135]. These mice had normal development, but were susceptible to spontaneous formation of different cancers, including RMS at low incidence [135–138]. Subsequent works have firmly supported that RMS incidence is greatly increased when loss of p53 activity occurs in association with other deregulated pathways. As such, RMS tumour frequency was increased in mice upon concomitant loss of *P53* and *FOS* [139], the latter being a major component of the AP-1 transcription factor, which regulates various biological processes by converting extracellular signals into changes in the expression of specific target genes [140]. Also, the activity of the tyrosine-kinase HER-2/neu receptor, which is expressed in approximately one-half of human RMS [141] and is involved in the transformation of many cell types [142], promoted ERMS formation in transgenic mice when coupled to loss of *P53* [143].

A synergism between p53 and Ras pathways has been frequently observed in RMS. For instance, the conditional expression of the cancer-related activating *KRAS*^{G12V} mutation in adult muscles of *P53* null mice triggered formation of PRMS [144], whereas the same *KRAS*^{G12V} form in the presence of the *P53*^{R172H} mutant triggered PRMS with more aggressive metastases [145], suggesting that p53 mutants, due to gain of toxicity, can have more deleterious effects on tumour development compared with the sole p53 loss [146].

In summary, loss of p53 activity and/or gain of p53 cytotoxic function play(s) a central role in RMS development, especially when coupled to the aberrant activity of additional pathways.

Pax3-Fkhr transcription factor

Pax3-Fkhr chimeric factor strongly cooperates together with the loss of *P53* or *INK4a/ARF* locus in ARMS onset. Transgenic mice carrying *PAX3-FKHR* exhibited defects in muscle development, including ectopic skeletal myogenesis in the developing neural tube, although they did not exhibit spontaneous tumour formation [147, 148], supporting the idea that Pax3-Fkhr expression was not sufficient *per se* to cause RMS. However, targeting a conditional *PAX3-FKHR* knock-in allele in terminally differentiating Myf6-expressing myofibres promoted ARMS formation [149]. Strikingly, ARMS frequency was increased in these conditional mice by the simultaneous disruption of either p53 pathway or *INK4a/ARF* locus [14, 149], the latter containing two overlap-

ping tumour suppressor genes, *p16*^{INK4a} and *p14*^{ARF} [68], involved in the regulation of cell cycle, senescence and apoptosis [150, 151]. These data suggest that expression of Pax3-Fkhr in differentiating Myf6-myofibres seems to be a *sine qua non* condition predisposing to ARMS, particularly when coupled to disruption of gene targets controlling cell cycle, such as *P53* and those included in the *INK4a/ARF* locus.

Ras/Erk pathway

Activating *RAS* mutations have been primarily associated with ERMS [13, 37–39, 152–155]. Indeed, mutations in components of the Ras pathway are responsible of clinically overlapping dominant disorders that are characterized by RMS development, including the Noonan syndrome, Costello syndrome, cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome and LEOPARD syndrome [156]. Costello syndrome, in particular, is characterized by short stature, facial dysmorphism, cardiac defects and predisposition to cancers, including ERMS, because of germline activating mutations in the *HRAS* gene on chromosome 11p15.5 [97, 157]. Indeed, an elegant model of ERMS has been established by the delivery of a transgenic construct harbouring an activated RAS form (*KRAS*^{G12D}) in muscle-associated cells of Zebrafish [158]. Injected embryos developed highly invasive tumours composed of heterogeneous cell populations, comprising undifferentiated muscle cells, multi-nucleated striated muscle fibres, and infiltrating blood cells. In addition, tumour incidence markedly increased when the *KRAS*^{G12D} transgene was injected into mutant fish with a *P53*^{+/-} and ^{-/-} background, confirming the cooperation between Ras and p53 pathways in RMS development. On the basis of microarray analysis on sorted cell populations of Zebrafish, authors postulated that the tumour-initiating cells were reasonably similar to muscle satellite cells. In summary, oncogenic *RAS* may itself play a primary role in ERMS development, especially when the gain of activity occurs in muscle satellite cells. Moreover, RMS frequency is greatly increased upon the simultaneous loss of p53 function, as observed in Zebrafish [158] and adult mice [144, 145].

Hgf/c-Met pathway

C-MET proto-oncogene encodes a tyrosine-kinase receptor that, upon binding with the hepatocyte growth factor (Hgf), promotes cellular growth, motility and survival, extracellular matrix degradation and angiogenesis [159, 160]. Excessive activation of this pathway has been implicated in a subset of human cancers, including RMS [161, 162]. In transgenic mice, inappropriate Hgf expression gave rise to distinct tumours of both mesenchymal and epithelial origin [64, 163, 164], with a prevalence of malignant mammary tumours, melanomas, RMS, fibrosarcomas, squamous papillomas, basal cell and hair follicle tumours. Later, it has been demonstrated that aberrant c-Met signalling and simultaneous *INK4a/ARF* locus inactivation are critical for RMS genesis [68]. Indeed, a consistent percentage of *INK4a/ARF*^{-/-} mice developed lymphomas and fibrosarcomas [165], whereas almost all *INK4a/ARF*^{-/-} mice overexpressing Hgf exhibited highly invasive RMS at 3 months of age [68]. These data suggest that constitutive activation of c-Met and simultaneous absence of *p16*^{INK4a}

and p19^{ARF} may give rise to a pre-malignant population of myogenic precursors, which cannot withdraw from the cell cycle and are resistant to p53-mediated apoptosis [166, 167]. Unlike embryogenesis, during which a Pax3-dependent expression of *c-MET* in the lateral dermomyotome is required for the appropriate migration of myogenic precursors to the limb [168], an aberrant Pax3-Fkhr-dependent *c-MET* transcription takes place in RMS. As the Hgf/c-Met pathway is only transiently required for the activation of satellite cells following skeletal muscle injury [169], its persistent gain of function in RMS cells has been supposed to allow invasiveness through continuous proliferation and migration [63, 65–67], ideally resembling a regenerating muscle that fails to repair [68].

Sonic hedgehog pathway

Inappropriate activation of the Sonic hedgehog (Shh) pathway, due to Ptch1 receptor inactivation, has been associated with familial cancer. In particular, germline mutations in the *PTCH1* gene lead to Gorlin syndrome, also termed Nevoid Basal Cell Carcinoma Syndrome, characterized by a variety of clinical problems such as increased body size, developmental abnormalities of the skeleton, and increased incidence of sporadic Basal Cell Carcinomas (BCC), Medulloblastoma (MB) and RMS [98]. In addition, a deficiency in *PTCH1* gene due to LOH on chromosome 9q22 has been further implicated in RMS [21]. Ptch1 basally suppresses the activity of the seven-pass membrane protein Smoothened (Smo), while the binding to the Sonic hedgehog (Shh) relieves the inhibition of Smo, culminating in the activation of the downstream Gli transcription factors. The latter regulate a variety of processes in invertebrate and vertebrate embryonic development [170, 171]. So far, *PTCH1*^{+/-} mice have been considered as a model of multi-organ tumourigenesis [172], as they were characterized by the development of many characteristic features of Gorlin syndrome, including a predisposition to radiation-induced teratogenesis and RMS formation [36]. Importantly, *PTCH1*^{+/-} mice displayed elevated Igf-2 levels [35, 36], suggesting that Ptch1 acts as a negative regulator of Igf-2, which is in turn required for the formation of MB and RMS. In comparison with *P53*^{+/-} mice, *PTCH1*^{+/-} mice predominantly showed less aggressive RMS due to a greater degree of differentiation [173], clearly highlighting how different mutations can have a different impact on tumour behaviour. Later on, the development of an elegant conditional mouse model allowed to demonstrate that the time-point and the gene dose of *PTCH1* inactivation predispose to development of certain tumours rather than others [174]. In particular, RMS was observed when *PTCH1* heterozygosity was induced prenatally [174], especially in the presence of simultaneous loss of p53 [175]. On the other hand, mono- or bi-allelic postnatal deletion of *PTCH1* respectively lead to hamartomatous gastrointestinal cystic tumours, BCC precancerous lesions of the gastrointestinal epithelium and mesenteric tumours [174].

Recently, mice deficient for another regulator of the Shh pathway, termed Suppressor of Fused (*SUFU*), have been generated [176]. *SUFU* is a negative modulator of Shh signalling [170] and its gene ablation results in embryonic lethality [177], suggesting a critical role in higher organisms. Like *PTCH1*, *SUFU* is believed to be a tumour-suppressor gene [178], as a subset of MB patients carry germline

and somatic *SUFU* mutations. In comparison with *PTCH1*^{+/-} mice, *SUFU*^{+/-} mice were not tumour prone; however, simultaneous loss of *SUFU* and *P53* triggered MB and RMS in mice [176].

Overall, these data suggest that inappropriate activation of the Shh pathway contributes to RMS development, especially in association with p53 loss of function.

Muscular disorders associated-proteins

Four different animal models of neuromuscular disorders have been associated with RMS development. Among them, the non-transgenic mdx mice ideally represent the animal phenocopy of the X-linked Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) [179], as they lack dystrophin due to a premature stop codon in exon 23 of dystrophin gene [180–182]. Dystrophin confers resistance to skeletal, cardiac and smooth muscle cells [183] by connecting F-actin in the subsarcolemmal cytoskeleton to the Dystrophin-Glycoprotein Complex (DGC) that spans the sarcolemma and attaches to laminin-2 (merosin) in the extracellular matrix [184]. In particular, it has been shown that old mdx mice (between 16.5 and 24 months of age) develop ARMS [185], although another research group has described development of ERMS in the same model [186]. Spontaneous formation of ERMS has been also detected in alpha sarcoglycan (α SGCA) deficient mice [186], which represent the animal phenocopy of the autosomal recessive Limb-Girdle Muscular Dystrophy-2D form (LGMD-2D) [187, 188]. The α SGCA gene encodes a transmembrane glycoprotein that stabilizes the DGC complex [184] and protects muscle cells from contraction-induced damage [189, 190]. It is worth noting that all mdx and α SGCA^{-/-} mice developing RMS were characterized by harbouring cancer-related mutated p53 forms or overexpressing mutated or deleted Mdm2 forms lacking the p53-binding domain (in the case of mdx model) [186], confirming that disruption of the p53 pathway cooperates with RMS formation. To further corroborate this evidence, *P53*-deficient mdx mice have been recently generated, thus demonstrating that the regenerative microenvironment in skeletal muscle of mdx mice, when coupled to *P53* deficiency, is sufficient to robustly induce ERMS in young mice [191]. Finally, development of PRMS at a high frequency has been detected in the A/J mouse strain [192], characterized by a progressive muscular dystrophy homologous to LGMD-2B due to lack of dysferlin, a protein involved in muscle repair [193, 194].

Results from studies on these animal models suggest that the continuous activation and proliferation of satellite cells, characterizing the lifelong myofibre degeneration and regeneration in muscular disorders, predispose a local environment that may greatly increase the chance of developing RMS, particularly in the presence of Mdm2/p53 cancer-associated alterations.

Conclusions

Generation of animal models has provided a powerful tool for understanding the molecular determinants cooperating with RMS formation. Due to technology limitations, until few years ago, experimental

induction of RMS was merely obtained by exposure to disparate classes of chemicals and ionizing radiations as well. Over the last two decades, the growing availability of genetic models has clearly outlined that the onset of RMS, as commonly seen in different cancers, requires the simultaneous occurrence of multiple aberrant molecular events, such as the loss of *P53*, *RB* and *INK4a/ARF* function along with the gained activity of Hgf/c-Met, Ras, Shh pathways and Pax3/7-Fkhr chimeric factors. Recent data further confirmed that loss of skeletal muscle integrity, as observed in some neuromuscular disorders, may supply a local tissue environment predisposing to RMS development. Apart from these different cues, RMS tumour histotype seems to be developmentally stage-dependent, being dictated from the timing and cell host in which specific molecular alterations arise.

In perspective, it will be attractive to bring together old and new evidence by coupling chemical and physical exposure in gene-targeted animal models. This combined approach could help

to unravel how a specific genetic background may predispose to or protect from cancer formation in the presence of environmental risk factors.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Arianna Bellucci for kindly revising the manuscript. This work was supported by the Associazione Amici per il Cuore-ONLUS, Chiari (Brescia)-Italy to A.F. and E.M., by the Fondazione Cariplo grant to E.M. and by the University of Brescia research fund (ex 60%) to A.F.

Conflict of interest

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ognjanovic S, Linabery AM, Charbonneau B, *et al.* Trends in childhood rhabdomyosarcoma incidence and survival in the United States, 1975-2005. *Cancer*. 2009; 115: 4218-26.
- Meyer WH, Spunt SL. Soft tissue sarcomas of childhood. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2004; 30: 269-80.
- Barr FG. The role of chimeric paired box transcription factors in the pathogenesis of pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 1999; 59: 1711s-5s.
- Merlino G, Helman LJ. Rhabdomyosarcoma—working out the pathways. *Oncogene*. 1999; 18: 5340-8.
- De Giovanni C, Landuzzi L, Nicoletti G, *et al.* Molecular and cellular biology of rhabdomyosarcoma. *Future Oncol*. 2009; 5: 1449-75.
- Xia SJ, Pressey JG, Barr FG. Molecular pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2002; 1: 97-104.
- Tonin PN, Scrabble H, Shimada H, *et al.* Muscle-specific gene expression in rhabdomyosarcomas and stages of human foetal skeletal muscle development. *Cancer Res*. 1991; 51: 5100-6.
- Berkes CA, Tapscott SJ. MyoD and the transcriptional control of myogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 2005; 16: 585-95.
- Bergstrom DA, Tapscott SJ. Molecular distinction between specification and differentiation in the myogenic basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor family. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2001; 21: 2404-12.
- Morotti RA, Nicol KK, Parham DM, *et al.* An immunohistochemical algorithm to facilitate diagnosis and subtyping of rhabdomyosarcoma: the Children's Oncology Group experience. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2006; 30: 962-8.
- Charytonowicz E, Cordon-Cardo C, Matushansky I, *et al.* Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma: is the cell of origin a mesenchymal stem cell? *Cancer Lett*. 2009; 279: 126-36.
- Merlino G, Khanna C. Fishing for the origins of cancer. *Genes Dev*. 2007; 21: 1275-9.
- Linardic CM, Downie DL, Qualman S, *et al.* Genetic modeling of human rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65: 4490-5.
- Keller C, Capecci MR. New genetic tactics to model alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma in the mouse. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65: 7530-2.
- Ren YX, Finckenstein FG, Abdueva DA, *et al.* Mouse mesenchymal stem cells expressing PAX-FKHR form alveolar rhabdomyosarcomas by cooperating with secondary mutations. *Cancer Res*. 2008; 68: 6587-97.
- Tsokos M, Webber BL, Parham DM, *et al.* Rhabdomyosarcoma. A new classification scheme related to prognosis. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 1992; 116: 847-55.
- Sultan I, Qaddoumi I, Yaser S, *et al.* Comparing adult and pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma in the surveillance, epidemiology and end results program, 1973 to 2005: an analysis of 2,600 patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27: 3391-7.
- Wolden SL, Alektiar KM. Sarcomas across the age spectrum. *Semin Radiat Oncol*. 2010; 20: 45-51.
- Barr FG. Molecular genetics and pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1997; 19: 483-91.
- Weber-Hall S, Anderson J, McManus A, *et al.* Gains, losses, and amplification of genomic material in rhabdomyosarcoma analyzed by comparative genomic hybridization. *Cancer Res*. 1996; 56: 3220-4.
- Bridge JA, Liu J, Weibolt V, *et al.* Novel genomic imbalances in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma revealed by comparative genomic hybridization and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization: an intergroup rhabdomyosarcoma study. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2000; 27: 337-44.
- Gordon T, McManus A, Anderson J, *et al.* Cytogenetic abnormalities in 42 rhabdomyosarcoma: a United Kingdom Cancer Cytogenetics Group Study. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 2001; 36: 259-67.
- Koufos A, Hansen MF, Copeland NG, *et al.* Loss of heterozygosity in three embryonal tumours suggests a common pathogenetic mechanism. *Nature*. 1985; 316: 330-4.
- Scrabble H, Cavenee W, Ghavimi F, *et al.* A model for embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma tumorigenesis that involves genome imprinting. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1989; 86: 7480-4.
- Scrabble HJ, Witte DP, Lampkin BC, *et al.* Chromosomal localization of the human rhabdomyosarcoma locus by mitotic recombination mapping. *Nature*. 1987; 329: 645-7.
- Loh WE, Scrabble HJ, Livanos E, *et al.* Human chromosome 11 contains two different growth suppressor genes for embry-

- onal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1992; 89: 1755–9.
27. **Anderson J, Gordon A, McManus A, et al.** Disruption of imprinted genes at chromosome region 11p15.5 in paediatric rhabdomyosarcoma. *Neoplasia*. 1999; 1: 340–8.
 28. **Besnard-Guérin C, Newsham I, Winqvist R, et al.** A common region of loss of heterozygosity in Wilms' tumour and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma distal to the D11S988 locus on chromosome 11p15.5. *Hum Genet*. 1996; 97: 163–70.
 29. **Davicioni E, Anderson MJ, Finckenstein FG, et al.** Molecular classification of rhabdomyosarcoma—genotypic and phenotypic determinants of diagnosis: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Am J Pathol*. 2009; 174: 550–64.
 30. **Hao Y, Crenshaw T, Moulton T, et al.** Tumour-suppressor activity of H19 RNA. *Nature*. 1993; 365: 764–7.
 31. **Matsuoka S, Thompson JS, Edwards MC, et al.** Imprinting of the gene encoding a human cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, p57KIP2, on chromosome 11p15. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1996; 93: 3026–30.
 32. **Roeb W, Boyer A, Cavenee WK, et al.** PAX3-FOXO1 controls expression of the p57Kip2 cell-cycle regulator through degradation of EGR1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104: 18085–90.
 33. **Schwiebacher C, Sabbioni S, Campi M, et al.** Transcriptional map of 170-kb region at chromosome 11p15.5: identification and mutational analysis of the BWR1A gene reveals the presence of mutations in tumor samples. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998; 95: 3873–8.
 34. **Zhan S, Shapiro DN, Helman LJ.** Activation of an imprinted allele of the insulin-like growth factor II gene implicated in rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Clin Invest*. 1994; 94: 445–8.
 35. **Hahn H, Wojnowski L, Specht K, et al.** Patched target Igf2 is indispensable for the formation of medulloblastoma and rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275: 28341–4.
 36. **Hahn H, Wojnowski L, Zimmer AM, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcomas and radiation hypersensitivity in a mouse model of Gorlin syndrome. *Nat Med*. 1998; 4: 619–22.
 37. **Stratton MR, Fisher C, Gusterson BA, et al.** Detection of point mutations in N-ras and K-ras genes of human embryonal rhabdomyosarcomas using oligonucleotide probes and the polymerase chain reaction. *Cancer Res*. 1989; 49: 6324–7.
 38. **Wilke W, Maillet M, Robinson R.** H-ras-1 point mutations in soft tissue sarcomas. *Mod Pathol*. 1993; 6: 129–32.
 39. **Yoo J, Robinson RA.** H-ras and K-ras mutations in soft tissue sarcoma: comparative studies of sarcomas from Korean and American patients. *Cancer*. 1999; 86: 58–63.
 40. **Galili N, Davis RJ, Fredericks WJ, et al.** Fusion of a fork head domain gene to PAX3 in the solid tumour alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Nat Genet*. 1993; 5: 230–5.
 41. **Barr FG, Galili N, Holick J, et al.** Rearrangement of the PAX3 paired box gene in the paediatric solid tumour alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Nat Genet*. 1993; 3: 113–7.
 42. **Davis RJ, D'Cruz CM, Lovell MA, et al.** Fusion of PAX7 to FKHR by the variant t(1;13)(p36;q14) translocation in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 1994; 54: 2869–72.
 43. **Gordon AT, Brinkschmidt C, Anderson J, et al.** A novel and consistent amplicon at 13q31 associated with alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2000; 28: 220–6.
 44. **Berner JM, Forus A, Elkahlon A, et al.** Separate amplified regions encompassing CDK4 and MDM2 in human sarcomas. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 1996; 17: 254–9.
 45. **Forus A, Flørenes VA, Maelandsmo GM, et al.** Mapping of amplification units in the q13-14 region of chromosome 12 in human sarcomas: some amplicons do not include MDM2. *Cell Growth Differ*. 1993; 4: 1065–70.
 46. **Dias P, Kumar P, Marsden HB, et al.** N-myc gene is amplified in alveolar rhabdomyosarcomas (RMS) but not in embryonal RMS. *Int J Cancer*. 1990; 45: 593–6.
 47. **Driman D, Thorner PS, Greenberg ML, et al.** MYCN gene amplification in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer*. 1994; 73: 2231–7.
 48. **Hachitanda Y, Toyoshima S, Akazawa K, et al.** N-myc gene amplification in rhabdomyosarcoma detected by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization: its correlation with histologic features. *Mod Pathol*. 1998; 11: 1222–7.
 49. **Iolascon A, Faienza MF, Coppola B, et al.** Analysis of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor genes (CDKN2A, CDKN2B, and CDKN2C) in childhood rhabdomyosarcoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 1996; 15: 217–22.
 50. **Graf Finckenstein F, Shahbazian V, Davicioni E, et al.** PAX-FKHR function as pangenes by simultaneously inducing and inhibiting myogenesis. *Oncogene*. 2008; 27: 2004–14.
 51. **Anderson J, Ramsay A, Gould S, et al.** PAX3-FKHR induces morphological change and enhances cellular proliferation and invasion in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Am J Pathol*. 2001; 159: 1089–96.
 52. **Relaix F, Polimeni M, Rocancourt D, et al.** The transcriptional activator PAX3-FKHR rescues the defects of Pax3 mutant mice but induces a myogenic gain-of-function phenotype with ligand-independent activation of Met signaling *in vivo*. *Genes Dev*. 2003; 17: 2950–65.
 53. **Goldstein M, Meller I, Issakov J, et al.** Novel genes implicated in embryonal, alveolar, and pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma: a cytogenetic and molecular analysis of primary tumors. *Neoplasia*. 2006; 8: 332–43.
 54. **Gordon A, McManus A, Anderson J, et al.** Chromosomal imbalances in pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcomas and identification of the alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma-associated PAX3-FOXO1A fusion gene in one case. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 2003; 140: 73–7.
 55. **Malkin D, Li FP, Strong LC, et al.** Germ line p53 mutations in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasms. *Science*. 1990; 250: 1233–8.
 56. **Felix CA, Kappel CC, Mitsudomi T, et al.** Frequency and diversity of p53 mutations in childhood rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 1992; 52: 2243–7.
 57. **Diller L, Sexsmith E, Gottlieb A, et al.** Germline p53 mutations are frequently detected in young children with rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Clin Invest*. 1995; 95: 1606–11.
 58. **Taylor AC, Shu L, Danks MK, et al.** P53 mutation and MDM2 amplification frequency in pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma tumors and cell lines. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 2000; 35: 96–103.
 59. **Lindor NM, McMaster ML, Lindor CJ, et al.** Concise handbook of familial cancer susceptibility syndromes — second edition. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*. 2008; 38: 1–93.
 60. **Keleti J, Quezado MM, Abaza MM, et al.** The MDM2 oncoprotein is overexpressed in rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines and stabilizes wild-type p53 protein. *Am J Pathol*. 1996; 149: 143–51.
 61. **Cam H, Griesmann H, Beitzinger M, et al.** p53 family members in myogenic differentiation and rhabdomyosarcoma development. *Cancer Cell*. 2006; 10: 281–93.

62. Kohashi K, Oda Y, Yamamoto H, *et al.* Alterations of RB1 gene in embryonal and alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma: special reference to utility of pRB immunoreactivity in differential diagnosis of rhabdomyosarcoma subtype. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2008; 134: 1097–103.
63. Taulli R, Scuoppo C, Bersani F, *et al.* Validation of met as a therapeutic target in alveolar and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66: 4742–9.
64. Takayama H, LaRochelle WJ, Sharp R, *et al.* Diverse tumorigenesis associated with aberrant development in mice overexpressing hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1997; 94: 701–6.
65. Ferracini R, Olivero M, Di Renzo MF, *et al.* Retrogenic expression of the MET proto-oncogene correlates with the invasive phenotype of human rhabdomyosarcomas. *Oncogene.* 1996; 12: 1697–705.
66. Ginsberg JP, Davis RJ, Bennicelli JL, *et al.* Up-regulation of MET but not neural cell adhesion molecule expression by the PAX3-FKHR fusion protein in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res.* 1998; 58: 3542–6.
67. Rees H, Williamson D, Papanastasiou A, *et al.* The MET receptor tyrosine kinase contributes to invasive tumour growth in rhabdomyosarcomas. *Growth Factors.* 2006; 24: 197–208.
68. Sharp R, Recio JA, Jhappan C, *et al.* Synergism between INK4a/ARF inactivation and aberrant HGF/SF signaling in rhabdomyosarcomagenesis. *Nat Med.* 2002; 8: 1276–80.
69. Kim SY, Toretsky JA, Scher D, *et al.* The role of IGF-1R in pediatric malignancies. *Oncologist* 2009; 14: 83–91.
70. Ayalon D, Glaser T, Werner H. Transcriptional regulation of IGF-I receptor gene expression by the PAX3-FKHR oncoprotein. *Growth Horm IGF Res.* 2001; 11: 289–97.
71. Blandford MC, Barr FG, Lynch JC, *et al.* Rhabdomyosarcomas utilize developmental, myogenic growth factors for disease advantage: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2006; 46: 329–38.
72. Khan J, Bittner ML, Saal LH, *et al.* cDNA microarrays detect activation of a myogenic transcription program by the PAX3-FKHR fusion oncogene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1999; 96: 13264–9.
73. Astolfi A, De Giovanni C, Landuzzi L, *et al.* Identification of new genes related to the myogenic differentiation arrest of human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Gene.* 2001; 274: 139–49.
74. Ganti R, Skapek SX, Zhang J, *et al.* Expression and genomic status of EGFR and ErbB-2 in alveolar and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2006; 19: 1213–20.
75. Taniguchi E, Nishijo K, McCleish AT, *et al.* PDGFR-A is a therapeutic target in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncogene.* 2008; 27: 6550–60.
76. Onisto M, Slongo ML, Gregnanin L, *et al.* Expression and activity of vascular endothelial growth factor and metalloproteinases in alveolar and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines. *Int J Oncol.* 2005; 27: 791–8.
77. Gee MF, Tsuchida R, Eichler-Jonsson C, *et al.* Vascular endothelial growth factor acts in an autocrine manner in rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines and can be inhibited with all-trans-retinoic acid. *Oncogene.* 2005; 24: 8025–37.
78. Wang W, Slevin M, Kumar S, *et al.* The cooperative transforming effects of PAX3-FKHR and IGF-II on mouse myoblasts. *Int J Oncol.* 2005; 27: 1087–96.
79. Taylor JG, Cheuk AT, Tsang PS, *et al.* Identification of FGFR4-activating mutations in human rhabdomyosarcomas that promote metastasis in xenotransplanted models. *J Clin Invest.* 2009; 119: 3395–407.
80. Williamson D, Selte J, Gordon T, *et al.* Role for amplification and expression of glypican-5 in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res.* 2007; 67: 57–65.
81. Astolfi A, Nanni P, Landuzzi L, *et al.* An anti-apoptotic role for NGF receptors in human rhabdomyosarcoma. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001; 37: 1719–25.
82. Bouché M, Canipari R, Melchionna R, *et al.* TGF-beta autocrine loop regulates cell growth and myogenic differentiation in human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *FASEB J.* 2000; 14: 1147–58.
83. Ricaud S, Vernus B, Duclos M, *et al.* Inhibition of autocrine secretion of myostatin enhances terminal differentiation in human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Oncogene.* 2003; 22: 8221–32.
84. Langley B, Thomas M, McFarlane C, *et al.* Myostatin inhibits rhabdomyosarcoma cell proliferation through an Rb-independent pathway. *Oncogene.* 2004; 23: 524–34.
85. Rossi S, Stoppani E, Puri PL, *et al.* Differentiation of human rhabdomyosarcoma RD cells is regulated by reciprocal, functional interactions between myostatin, p38 and extracellular regulated kinase signalling pathways. *Eur J Cancer.* 2011; 47: 1095–105.
86. Tomescu O, Xia SJ, Strezlecki D, *et al.* Inducible short-term and stable long-term cell culture systems reveal that the PAX3-FKHR fusion oncoprotein regulates CXCR4, PAX3, and PAX7 expression. *Lab Invest.* 2004; 84: 1060–70.
87. Ebauer M, Wachtel M, Niggli FK, *et al.* Comparative expression profiling identifies an *in vivo* target gene signature with TFAP2B as a mediator of the survival function of PAX3/FKHR. *Oncogene.* 2007; 26: 7267–81.
88. Riuzzi F, Sorci G, Donato R. RAGE expression in rhabdomyosarcoma cells results in myogenic differentiation and reduced proliferation, migration, invasiveness, and tumor growth. *Am J Pathol.* 2007; 171: 947–61.
89. Davicioni E, Finckenstein FG, Shahbazian V, *et al.* Identification of a PAX-FKHR gene expression signature that defines molecular classes and determines the prognosis of alveolar rhabdomyosarcomas. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66: 6936–46.
90. Puri PL, Wu Z, Zhang P, *et al.* Induction of terminal differentiation by constitutive activation of p38 MAP kinase in human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Genes Dev.* 2000; 14: 574–84.
91. Tapscott SJ, Thayer MJ, Weintraub H. Deficiency in rhabdomyosarcomas of a factor required for MyoD activity and myogenesis. *Science.* 1993; 259: 1450–3.
92. Yang Z, MacQuarrie KL, Analau E, *et al.* MyoD and E-protein heterodimers switch rhabdomyosarcoma cells from an arrested myoblast phase to a differentiated state. *Genes Dev.* 2009; 23: 694–707.
93. Cen L, Hsieh FC, Lin HJ, *et al.* PDK-1/AKT pathway as a novel therapeutic target in rhabdomyosarcoma cells using OSU-03012 compound. *Br J Cancer.* 2007; 97: 785–91.
94. Marampon F, Ciccarelli C, Zani BM. Down-regulation of c-Myc following MEK/ERK inhibition halts the expression of malignant phenotype in rhabdomyosarcoma and in non muscle-derived human tumors. *Mol Cancer.* 2006; 5: 31.
95. Hoovers JM, Kalikin LM, Johnson LA, *et al.* Multiple genetic loci within 11p15 defined by Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome rearrangement breakpoints and subchromosomal transferable fragments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1995; 92: 12456–60.
96. Ferrari A, Bisogno G, Macaluso A, *et al.* Soft-tissue sarcomas in children and adolescents with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Cancer.* 2007; 109: 1406–12.

97. **Aoki Y, Niihori T, Kawame H, et al.** Germ-line mutations in HRAS proto-oncogene cause Costello syndrome. *Nat Genet.* 2005; 37: 1038–40.
98. **Gorlin RJ.** Nevoid basal cell carcinoma (Gorlin) syndrome. *Genet Med.* 2004; 6: 530–9.
99. **Kleinerman RA, Tucker MA, Abramson DH, et al.** Risk of soft tissue sarcomas by individual subtype in survivors of hereditary retinoblastoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2007; 99: 24–31.
100. **Hanks S, Coleman K, Summersgill B, et al.** Comparative genomic hybridization and BUB1B mutation analyses in childhood cancers associated with mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome. *Cancer Lett.* 2006; 239: 234–8.
101. **Kratz CP, Holter S, Etzler J, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcoma in patients with constitutional mismatch-repair-deficiency syndrome. *J Med Genet.* 2009; 46: 418–20.
102. **Miller RW, Rubinstein JH.** Tumors in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 1995; 56: 112–5.
103. **Gilman JP, Herchen H.** The effect of physical form of implant on nickel sulphide tumorigenesis in the rat. *Acta Unio Int Contra Cancrum.* 1963; 19: 615–9.
104. **Gilman JP.** Metal carcinogenesis. II. A study on the carcinogenic activity of cobalt, copper, iron, and nickel compounds. *Cancer Res.* 1962; 22: 158–62.
105. **Maenza RM, Pradhan AM, Sunderman FW.** Rapid induction of sarcomas in rats by combination of nickel sulfide and 3,4-benzpyrene. *Cancer Res.* 1971; 31: 2067–71.
106. **Damjanov I, Sunderman FW, Mitchell JM, et al.** Induction of testicular sarcomas in Fischer rats by intratesticular injection of nickel subsulfide. *Cancer Res.* 1978; 38: 268–76.
107. **Hildebrand HF, Biserte G.** Cylindrical laminated bodies in nickel-subsulphide-induced rhabdomyosarcoma in rabbits. *Eur J Cell Biol.* 1979; 19: 276–80.
108. **Nanni P, Azzarello G, Tessarollo L, et al.** *In vitro* differentiation of rhabdomyosarcomas induced by nickel or by Moloney murine sarcoma virus. *Br J Cancer.* 1991; 63: 736–42.
109. **Edgar JA, Colegate SM, Boppré M, et al.** Pyrrolizidine alkaloids in food: a spectrum of potential health consequences. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess.* 2011; 28: 308–24.
110. **Fu PP, Xia Q, Lin G, et al.** Pyrrolizidine alkaloids—genotoxicity, metabolism enzymes, metabolic activation, and mechanisms. *Drug Metab Rev.* 2004; 36: 1–55.
111. **Allen JR, Hsu IC, Carstens LA.** Dehydroretroecine-induced rhabdomyosarcomas in rats. *Cancer Res.* 1975; 35: 997–1002.
112. **Toth B, Patil K, Erickson J, et al.** Carcinogenesis by benzenediazonium sulfate in mice. *In Vivo.* 1998; 12: 379–82.
113. **Toth B, Patil K, Taylor J, et al.** Cancer induction in mice by 4-hydroxybenzenediazonium sulfate of the *Agaricus xanthodermus* mushroom. *In Vivo.* 1989; 3: 301–5.
114. **Toth B, Taylor J, Mattson B, et al.** Tumor induction by 4-(methyl)benzenediazonium sulfate in mice. *In Vivo.* 1989; 3: 17–21.
115. **Bouvier G, Poirier S, Shao YM, et al.** Epstein-Barr virus activators, mutagens and volatile nitrosamines in preserved food samples from high-risk areas for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *IARC Sci Publ.* 1991; 105: 204–9.
116. **Bunton TE, Wolfe MJ.** N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine-induced neoplasms in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Toxicol Pathol.* 1996; 24: 323–30.
117. **Spitsbergen JM, Tsai HW, Reddy A, et al.** Neoplasia in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) treated with N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine by three exposure routes at different developmental stages. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2000; 28: 716–25.
118. **Lijinsky W, Saavedra JE, Reuber MD.** Organ-specific carcinogenesis in rats by methyl- and ethylazoxyalkanes. *Cancer Res.* 1985; 45: 76–9.
119. **Sohn OS, Fiala ES, Requeijo SP, et al.** Differential effects of CYP2E1 status on the metabolic activation of the colon carcinogens azoxymethane and methylazoxymethanol. *Cancer Res.* 2001; 61: 8435–40.
120. **Chen J, Huang XF.** The signal pathways in azoxymethane-induced colon cancer and preventive implications. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2009; 8: 1313–7.
121. **Cattabeni F, Di Luca M.** Developmental models of brain dysfunctions induced by targeted cellular ablations with methylazoxymethanol. *Physiol Rev.* 1997; 77: 199–215.
122. **Hawkins W, Fournie J, Overstreet R, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcoma in the Japanese medaka, *oryzias-latipes* (temminck and schlegel) and guppy, *poecilia-reticulata* peters. *J Fish Dis.* 1988; 11: 259–66.
123. **Warshawsky D.** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in carcinogenesis. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1999; 107: 317–9.
124. **Spitsbergen JM, Tsai HW, Reddy A, et al.** Neoplasia in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) treated with 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene by two exposure routes at different developmental stages. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2000; 28: 705–15.
125. **Taguchi S, Kuriwaki K, Souda M, et al.** Induction of sarcomas by a single subcutaneous injection of 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene into neonatal male Sprague-Dawley rats: histopathological and immunohistochemical analyses. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2006; 34: 336–47.
126. **Westwood FR, Longstaff E, Butler WH.** Cellular progression of neoplasia in the subcutis of mice after implantation of 3,4-benzpyrene. *Br J Cancer.* 1979; 39: 761–72.
127. **Shimizu Y, Nakatsuru Y, Ichinose M, et al.** Benzo[a]pyrene carcinogenicity is lost in mice lacking the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2000; 97: 779–82.
128. **Kalinich JF, Emond CA, Dalton TK, et al.** Embedded weapons-grade tungsten alloy shrapnel rapidly induces metastatic high-grade rhabdomyosarcomas in F344 rats. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005; 113: 729–34.
129. **Gupta A, Andrews KL, McDaniel KM, et al.** Experimental induction of rhabdomyosarcoma in mice with fractionated doses of beta-irradiation. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 1999; 125: 257–67.
130. **Perk K, Shachat DA, Moloney JB.** Pathogenesis of a rhabdomyosarcoma (undifferentiated type) in rats induced by a murine sarcoma virus (Moloney). *Cancer Res.* 1968; 28: 1197–206.
131. **Teitz T, Chang JC, Kitamura M, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcoma arising in transgenic mice harboring the beta-globin locus control region fused with simian virus 40 large T antigen gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1993; 90: 2910–4.
132. **Köbber C, Möllmann C, Schäfers M, et al.** Transgenic model of cardiac rhabdomyosarcoma formation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2008; 136: 1178–86.
133. **Malkin D, Chilton-MacNeill S, Meister LA, et al.** Tissue-specific expression of SV40 in tumors associated with the Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *Oncogene.* 2001; 20: 4441–9.
134. **Levine AJ, Oren M.** The first 30 years of p53: growing ever more complex. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2009; 9: 749–58.
135. **Donehower LA, Harvey M, Slagle BL, et al.** Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous tumours. *Nature.* 1992; 356: 215–21.

136. **Jacks T, Remington L, Williams BO, et al.** Tumor spectrum analysis in p53-mutant mice. *Curr Biol.* 1994; 4: 1–7.
137. **Harvey M, McArthur MJ, Montgomery CA, et al.** Spontaneous and carcinogen-induced tumorigenesis in p53-deficient mice. *Nat Genet.* 1993; 5: 225–9.
138. **Harvey M, McArthur MJ, Montgomery CA, et al.** Genetic background alters the spectrum of tumors that develop in p53-deficient mice. *FASEB J.* 1993; 7: 938–43.
139. **Fleischmann A, Jochum W, Eferl R, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcoma development in mice lacking Trp53 and Fos: tumor suppression by the Fos protooncogene. *Cancer Cell.* 2003; 4: 477–82.
140. **Jochum W, Passequé E, Wagner EF.** AP-1 in mouse development and tumorigenesis. *Oncogene.* 2001; 20: 2401–12.
141. **Ricci C, Landuzzi L, Rossi I, et al.** Expression of HER/erbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases and induction of differentiation by glial growth factor 2 in human rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Int J Cancer.* 2000; 87: 29–36.
142. **Andrechek ER, Hardy WR, Girgis-Gabardo AA, et al.** ErbB2 is required for muscle spindle and myoblast cell survival. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2002; 22: 4714–22.
143. **Nanni P, Nicoletti G, De Giovanni C, et al.** Development of rhabdomyosarcoma in HER-2/neu transgenic p53 mutant mice. *Cancer Res.* 2003; 63: 2728–32.
144. **Tsumura H, Yoshida T, Saito H, et al.** Cooperation of oncogenic K-ras and p53 deficiency in pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma development in adult mice. *Oncogene.* 2006; 25: 7673–9.
145. **Doyle B, Morton JP, Delaney DW, et al.** p53 mutation and loss have different effects on tumorigenesis in a novel mouse model of pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Pathol.* 2010; 222: 129–37.
146. **Post SM, Lozano G.** You can win by losing: p53 mutations in rhabdomyosarcomas. *J Pathol.* 2010; 222: 124–8.
147. **Anderson MJ, Shelton GD, Cavenee WK, et al.** Embryonic expression of the tumor-associated PAX3-FKHR fusion protein interferes with the developmental functions of Pax3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2001; 98: 1589–94.
148. **Finckenstein FG, Davicioni E, Osborn KG, et al.** Transgenic mice expressing PAX3-FKHR have multiple defects in muscle development, including ectopic skeletal myogenesis in the developing neural tube. *Transgenic Res.* 2006; 15: 595–614.
149. **Keller C, Arenkiel BR, Coffin CM, et al.** Alveolar rhabdomyosarcomas in conditional Pax3:Fkhr mice: cooperativity of Ink4a/ARF and Trp53 loss of function. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18: 2614–26.
150. **Chin L, Pomerantz J, DePinho RA.** The INK4a/ARF tumor suppressor: one gene—two products—two pathways. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 1998; 23: 291–6.
151. **Sherr CJ.** The INK4a/ARF network in tumour suppression. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 2: 731–7.
152. **Chardin P, Yeramian P, Madaule P, et al.** N-ras gene activation in the RD human rhabdomyosarcoma cell line. *Int J Cancer.* 1985; 35: 647–52.
153. **Bos JL, Verlaan-de Vries M, Jansen AM, et al.** Three different mutations in codon 61 of the human N-ras gene detected by synthetic oligonucleotide hybridization. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1984; 12: 9155–63.
154. **Martinelli S, McDowell HP, Vigne SD, et al.** RAS signaling dysregulation in human embryonal Rhabdomyosarcoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2009; 48: 975–82.
155. **Chen Y, Takita J, Hiwatari M, et al.** Mutations of the PTPN11 and RAS genes in rhabdomyosarcoma and pediatric hematological malignancies. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2006; 45: 583–91.
156. **Kratz CP, Rapisuwon S, Reed H, et al.** Cancer in Noonan, Costello, cardiofaciocutaneous and LEOPARD syndromes. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet.* 2011; 157: 83–9.
157. **Kratz CP, Steinemann D, Niemeyer CM, et al.** Uniparental disomy at chromosome 11p15.5 followed by HRAS mutations in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma: lessons from Costello syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2007; 16: 374–9.
158. **Langenau DM, Keefe MD, Storer NY, et al.** Effects of RAS on the genesis of embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Genes Dev.* 2007; 21: 1382–95.
159. **Jeffers M, Rong S, Woude GF.** Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor-Met signaling in tumorigenicity and invasion/metastasis. *J Mol Med (Berl).* 1996; 74: 505–13.
160. **Matsumoto K, Nakamura T.** Emerging multipotent aspects of hepatocyte growth factor. *J Biochem.* 1996; 119: 591–600.
161. **Trusolino L, Comoglio PM.** Scatter-factor and semaphorin receptors: cell signalling for invasive growth. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2002; 2: 289–300.
162. **Danilkovitch-Miagkova A, Zbar B.** Dysregulation of Met receptor tyrosine kinase activity in invasive tumors. *J Clin Invest.* 2002; 109: 863–7.
163. **Sakata H, Takayama H, Sharp R, et al.** Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor overexpression induces growth, abnormal development, and tumor formation in transgenic mouse livers. *Cell Growth Differ.* 1996; 7: 1513–23.
164. **Otsuka T, Takayama H, Sharp R, et al.** c-Met autocrine activation induces development of malignant melanoma and acquisition of the metastatic phenotype. *Cancer Res.* 1998; 58: 5157–67.
165. **Serrano M, Lee H, Chin L, et al.** Role of the INK4a locus in tumor suppression and cell mortality. *Cell.* 1996; 85: 27–37.
166. **Zacksenhaus E, Jiang Z, Chung D, et al.** pRb controls proliferation, differentiation, and death of skeletal muscle cells and other lineages during embryogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 1996; 10: 3051–64.
167. **Pomerantz J, Schreiber-Agus N, Liégeois NJ, et al.** The Ink4a tumor suppressor gene product, p19Arf, interacts with MDM2 and neutralizes MDM2's inhibition of p53. *Cell.* 1998; 92: 713–23.
168. **Epstein JA, Shapiro DN, Cheng J, et al.** Pax3 modulates expression of the c-Met receptor during limb muscle development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1996; 93: 4213–8.
169. **Tatsumi R, Anderson JE, Nevoret CJ, et al.** HGF/SF is present in normal adult skeletal muscle and is capable of activating satellite cells. *Dev Biol.* 1998; 194: 114–28.
170. **Lum L, Beachy PA.** The Hedgehog response network: sensors, switches, and routers. *Science.* 2004; 304: 1755–9.
171. **Ingham PW, McMahon AP.** Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes Dev.* 2001; 15: 3059–87.
172. **Pazzaglia S.** Ptc1 heterozygous knockout mice as a model of multi-organ tumorigenesis. *Cancer Lett.* 2006; 234: 124–34.
173. **Kappler R, Bauer R, Calzada-Wack J, et al.** Profiling the molecular difference between patched- and p53-dependent rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncogene.* 2004; 23: 8785–95.
174. **Zibat A, Uhmman A, Nitzki F, et al.** Time-point and dosage of gene inactivation determine the tumor spectrum in conditional Ptch knockouts. *Carcinogenesis.* 2009; 30: 918–26.
175. **Rubin BP, Nishijo K, Chen HI, et al.** Evidence for an unanticipated relationship between undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Cell.* 2011; 19: 177–91.
176. **Lee Y, Kawagoe R, Sasai K, et al.** Loss of suppressor-of-fused function promotes tumorigenesis. *Oncogene.* 2007; 26: 6442–7.

177. **Svård J, Heby-Henricson K, Henricson KH, et al.** Genetic elimination of Suppressor of fused reveals an essential repressor function in the mammalian Hedgehog signaling pathway. *Dev Cell.* 2006; 10: 187–97.
178. **Taylor MD, Liu L, Raffel C, et al.** Mutations in SUFU predispose to medulloblastoma. *Nat Genet.* 2002; 31: 306–10.
179. **Partridge T.** Animal models of muscular dystrophy—what can they teach us? *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol.* 1991; 17: 353–63.
180. **Bulfield G, Siller WG, Wight PA, et al.** X chromosome-linked muscular dystrophy (mdx) in the mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1984; 81: 1189–92.
181. **Sicinski P, Geng Y, Ryder-Cook AS, et al.** The molecular basis of muscular dystrophy in the mdx mouse: a point mutation. *Science.* 1989; 244: 1578–80.
182. **Hoffman EP, Morgan JE, Watkins SC, et al.** Somatic reversion/suppression of the mouse mdx phenotype *in vivo*. *J Neurol Sci.* 1990; 99: 9–25.
183. **Hoffman EP, Brown RH, Kunkel LM.** Dystrophin: the protein product of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus. *Cell.* 1987; 51: 919–28.
184. **Ervasti JM, Campbell KP.** A role for the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex as a transmembrane linker between laminin and actin. *J Cell Biol.* 1993; 122: 809–23.
185. **Chamberlain JS, Metzger J, Reyes M, et al.** Dystrophin-deficient mdx mice display a reduced life span and are susceptible to spontaneous rhabdomyosarcoma. *FASEB J.* 2007; 21: 2195–204.
186. **Fernandez K, Serinagaoglu Y, Hammond S, et al.** Mice lacking dystrophin or alpha sarcoglycan spontaneously develop embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma with cancer-associated p53 mutations and alternatively spliced or mutant Mdm2 transcripts. *Am J Pathol.* 2010; 176: 416–34.
187. **Allamand V, Campbell KP.** Animal models for muscular dystrophy: valuable tools for the development of therapies. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2000; 9: 2459–67.
188. **Duclos F, Straub V, Moore SA, et al.** Progressive muscular dystrophy in alpha-sarcoglycan-deficient mice. *J Cell Biol.* 1998; 142: 1461–71.
189. **Weller B, Karpati G, Carpenter S.** Dystrophin-deficient mdx muscle fibers are preferentially vulnerable to necrosis induced by experimental lengthening contractions. *J Neurol Sci.* 1990; 100: 9–13.
190. **Petrof BJ, Shrager JB, Stedman HH, et al.** Dystrophin protects the sarcolemma from stresses developed during muscle contraction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1993; 90: 3710–4.
191. **Carboni M, Hammond S, Martin LT, et al.** Induction of a regenerative microenvironment in skeletal muscle is sufficient to induce embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma in p53-deficient mice. *J Pathol.* 2011; 226: 40–9.
192. **Sher RB, Cox GA, Mills KD, et al.** Rhabdomyosarcomas in aging a/j mice. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6: e23498.
193. **Ho M, Post CM, Donahue LR, et al.** Disruption of muscle membrane and phenotype divergence in two novel mouse models of dysferlin deficiency. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2004; 13: 1999–2010.
194. **Bittner RE, Anderson LV, Burkhardt E, et al.** Dysferlin deletion in SJL mice (SJL-Dysf) defines a natural model for limb girdle muscular dystrophy 2B. *Nat Genet.* 1999; 23: 141–2.